SOVEREIGN
AFRICA RATINGS

South Africa's Budget Postponement: Navigating a Difficult Economic Terrain

Issuer Commentary

21 February 2025

Introduction

Recent budget delays in South Africa, while concerning, are not unprecedented. Similar situations have occurred globally, highlighting the inherent complexities of fiscal management. Germany, for example, postponed its 2024 federal budget after a court ruling blocked €60 billion in reallocated COVID-19 funds, creating uncertainty over green transition financing. The UK's Autumn Statement was also delayed in 2023 amid fiscal reassessment, eroding investor trust. Even the US has faced repeated budget extensions, highlighting the risks of political brinkmanship. Italy's 2024 budget was delayed due to internal disputes, risking EU's recovery fund access. For South Africa, these global examples underscore the need for transparency. The Minister of Finance must clarify the specific reasons for the postponement, the impact on fiscal targets (such as the deficit potentially widening beyond 4.5% of GDP), and the timeline for approval. This transparency is critical to avoid negative credit rating action. As the finance team regroups to refine the budget, several key challenges may be at the heart of this delay.

Financial Action Task Force's grey listing

South Africa's efforts to address the Financial Action Task Force's (FATF) grey listing are crucial. While the country has addressed 15 of the 20 FATF action items, the risk of prolonged grey listing remains. Pakistan's experience, where a four-year grey listing cost an estimated $38 billion in lost GDP, serves as a stark reminder of the potential economic consequences. The Minister must detail the progress on the remaining items, such as terrorism financing controls, and provide a clear timeline for full compliance. Failure to meet the April 2025 deadline could increase cross-border transaction costs by 10–15% (per IMF estimates) and reduce FDI inflows by $1.5–2 billion annually.

The impact of USAID cuts

The planned United States aid cuts represent a significant fiscal challenge. The reduction of $500 million in aid, representing 5% of South Africa's annual external funding, will impact various programmes. Health programmes, including PEPFAR HIV funding, face a potential cut of $300 million. Climate initiatives, particularly the Just Energy Transition Partnership, could lose $100 million. Education scholarships are also at risk, with a potential reduction of $50 million. The government needs to articulate clear contingency plans, such as reallocating R8 billion from domestic budgets, to mitigate the impact of these cuts.

Key infrastructure spending

Increased infrastructure spending, with a planned allocation of R100 billion over five years for roads, rail, and ports, has the potential to boost long-term economic growth. Projects like Transnet's R50 billion port expansion aim to reduce logistics costs, currently at 14% of GDP compared to the 8% average in peer countries. However, risks remain, particularly the over-reliance on state-owned entities with a history of poor performance, such as Eskom and its R400 billion debt burden. Effective management and oversight are crucial to ensure these investments deliver the intended benefits.

Peacekeeping missions

South Africa's participation in peacekeeping missions, while contributing to regional stability, also has fiscal implications. With R2.5 billion spent in 2023 (0.1% of GDP), primarily in the DRC and Mozambique, clarity is needed on how these costs are managed. Unbudgeted deployments could widen the deficit. The government should clarify whether these costs are offset by reimbursements from the AU or EU.

Geopolitical risks

Geopolitical risks, particularly those related to African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA) and potential tariffs, introduce further uncertainty. With $3 billion in annual exports under AGOA, including vehicles, citrus, and wine, South Africa is vulnerable to changes in US trade policy. A "Trump-era" 10% tariff could cost an estimated R50 billion in lost trade. Mitigation strategies, such as diversifying trade relationships with BRICS nations (China now buys 15% of South African exports vs. 8% in 2020), are essential.

Treasury's loan from Reserve Bank reserves

Treasury's R50 billion loan from the Reserve Bank reserves, at a 7% interest rate (above market rates) repayable by 2027, raises concerns about fiscal sustainability. This loan depletes reserves intended for forex crises. Repeated use of this mechanism could signal fiscal distress. The government must clarify the terms of this arrangement, the rationale behind it, and the plan for repayment.

Proposed Value-Added Tax (VAT) increase

From a credit rating perspective, the proposed VAT increase from 15% to 17% presents a complex fiscal picture. While projected to generate an estimated R52 billion annually, bolstering short-term revenue capacity, the regressive nature of the tax raises concerns. Lower-income households, who allocate a greater proportion of their income (20%) to VAT-taxed goods compared to higher earners (8%), may experience reduced disposable income. This could dampen domestic demand and create headwinds for economic growth, potentially indirectly straining revenue stability over the longer term. Credit rating agencies will be closely watching how these dynamics unfold and whether mitigating measures are put in place to address the regressive impact.

The National Treasury's decision to link the VAT increase to funding the R35.2 billion annual cost of extending the R350 Social Relief of Distress (SRD) grant until 2026 further complicates the situation. This linkage highlights a reliance on consumption taxes to sustain social transfers, raising questions about the long-term sustainability of funding mechanisms for social programmes. While the SRD grant plays a vital role in poverty alleviation, the dependence on a regressive tax to support it suggests a need for more structural revenue reforms. Creditworthiness considerations would emphasise the importance of diversifying revenue streams and reducing dependence on measures that could disproportionately burden vulnerable populations.

Crucially, viable alternatives that could enhance fiscal flexibility without the negative consequences of a VAT increase. Addressing the estimated R150 billion annual tax compliance gap (per SARS estimates) and curbing the R30 billion in non-productive expenditures (e.g., non-essential SOE bailouts) offer significant potential. Prioritising these measures could lead to improved revenue quality, greater expenditure efficiency, and enhanced debt sustainability—all key pillars of credit stability. A balanced approach to revenue generation, combining progressive reforms with disciplined expenditure management, would better align with medium-term fiscal consolidation goals and mitigate risks to economic resilience and social stability, ultimately influencing sovereign credit profiles.

Market reaction to budget delay

South Africa's financial markets displayed unexpected resilience following the historic postponement of the 2024 budget speech. The rand dipped only 0.5% against the dollar before recovering to trade at 18.50/$, while 10-year government bond yields rose modestly by 10 basis points to 10.7%, reflecting limited panic. Analysts attribute this stability to the Treasury's pre-release of critical fiscal data, including a projected debt-to-GDP ratio of 75% by 2026 and spending cuts totalling R150 billion over three years, which eased investor concerns.

However, risks linger beneath the surface. Political tensions over a proposed VAT hike from 15% to 17% – estimated to generate R50 billion annually – could destabilise the ruling coalition. Combined with global headwinds like volatile commodity prices and potential US trade policy shifts affecting emerging markets (down 15% year-to-date in currency terms), these factors heighten vulnerability to sudden capital outflows. Market stability now hinges on resolving the VAT impasse and maintaining cohesion within the Government of National Unity (GNU), which oversees 60% of parliamentary seats.

Additional credit risks

Additional credit risks include the high unemployment rate of 32.1%, which necessitates substantial social grant spending (R250 billion/year), putting strain on the budget. The ongoing electricity crisis, with load shedding costing an estimated R900 million/day in lost output, further hampers economic growth. The proposed expansion of social grants, including a Basic Income Grant of R370/month, would require an additional R50 billion/year in unfunded expenditure.

Conclusion

In conclusion, South Africa's credit rating hinges on a few key factors. Transparent and timely budget processes are crucial to avoid fiscal slippage. Achieving FATF compliance is essential to maintain market access. Prudent tax policies, coupled with strategic infrastructure investment, are vital for sustainable growth. Effectively mitigating geopolitical risks and addressing the energy crisis are also critical. While infrastructure focus and trade diversification with BRICS are positive signs, the risks associated with VAT increases, aid cuts, and potential grey listing pose significant challenges. Ultimately, South Africa's creditworthiness depends on its ability to implement sound policies, improve governance, and demonstrate a commitment to fiscal responsibility while addressing pressing socioeconomic needs.

SOVEREIGN
AFRICA RATINGS

Contributors:

- **Bekithemba Ndimande | Rating Analyst | bekithemba@saratings.com |**
- **Lomanja Malaba | Rating Analyst | lomanja@saratings.com |**